## Robust Representation of Multi-Nutrient Constraints in ALMv1 Q. Zhu, W.J. Riley, J.Y. Tang, F.M. Hoffman, G. Bisht, X. Yang, M. Mu, J.T. Randerson



| 10 |                           |   |
|----|---------------------------|---|
| 40 |                           |   |
|    | ECA ensemble mean (CT5)   |   |
| 25 | ECA ensemble 95% CI (CT5) | 1 |
| 35 | — ECA best fit (CT5)      |   |



- Develop new ALMv1 for BGC Expt.
- Test current representations of

nutrient limitations on the C cycle

against observations

 Introduce a new nutrient constraint and competition approach based on ECA and integrated in ALMv1



The ECA approach accurately predicts observed nutrient uptake between microbial and root competitors, while the Relative Demand and "Microbes Win" approaches do not. Left: Comparison in an alpine grassland; Above: Comparison at the NGEE-Arctic Barrow site.

**Right:** The new model, ALMv1-ECA, improved LAI, GPP, and surface energy budget predictions (Zhu et al. in prep.)



## Benchmark model using ILAMB

## Approach

- We integrated into ALMv1:
- 1. Recent theoretical advances in
  - understanding multiple-consumer, multiplenutrient competition
- 2. A generic dynamic allocation scheme based on water, nitrogen, phosphorus, and light



- We demonstrated in three systems
  - that the ECA approach is superior to
  - other nutrient competition methods
- Using ILAMB, we show that our implementation of ECA nutrient

availability

- 3. Prognostic treatment of nutrient constraints on C dynamics
- 4. Global datasets of plant physiology traits
- We also benchmaked the model using ILAMB

## constraints improves predictions compared to observations and other model structures

Accelerated Climate Modeling for Energy

For additional information, contact:

**Staff Member** Title Institution or Organization

(555) 555-1234 staff.member@email.gov

climatemodeling.science.energy.gov/acme

